

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,108	03/24/2004	Kevin S. Marchitto	D6476CIP	4168
7500 07/13/2016 Benjamin Aaron Adler ADLER & ASSOCIATES 8011 Candle Lane Houston. TX 77071			EXAMINER	
			WITCZAK, CATHERINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2010	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/808,108	MARCHITTO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CATHERINE N. WITCZAK	3767	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the san application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1' periods: 	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the fir b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than	Acion, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee is tatulory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance we filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS.	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	,
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onding number of finally rejected claims.
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will rehow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 7. A for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will represent the new or amendment for provided be a follows: 8. A for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will represent the new or amendment for provided be a follows: 9. A for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will represent the new or amendment for provided be a formation for purpose of appeal for purpose of appeals the proposed amendment for provided be a formation for provided be a formation for purpose of appeals for purpose of appeals for provided be a formation for provided by the formation for provided be a formation for provided be a formation for provided by the f	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>34-52</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-33 and 53-60</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficie was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ent reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N	
in scope from Applicant's claimed invention. Although Examiner	er and Handy references are drawn to methods that are different acknowledges the fact that overall scope of the Miller and Handy
inventions may be different. Examiner points out that both of their step of ablating tissue, clee Final Office Action). Thus, given that disclose ablating tissue, it is the Examiner's position that both th Furthermore, even though Applicant has amended claim '34 to ra tissue" – there is no limitation which specifies what is used or ho claim does not provide any limitation which would require the re-	(among many other steps) the methods of Miller and Handy ese references do in fact teach a "method for ablating tissue," ead 'altering said tissue at the site of interest theby ablating the w the tissue is ablated. Specifically, Examiner points out that the
the claim does not provide any limitation which would require the rec the claim currently stands, there is nothing which would prevent would comprise the applicator and the other being used to 'alter	the claimed method employing separate devices - one of which
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/St	
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No.

/KEVIN C. SIRMONS/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/Catherine N Witczak/
Examiner, Art Unit 3767

Examiner, Art Unit 3767

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100702